

**JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE SIXTH CIRCUIT**  
**MICHIGAN-OHIO-KENTUCKY-TENNESSEE**

In re:  
Complaint of Judicial Misconduct

\*  
\*  
\*No. 06-18-90088  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*

**MEMORANDUM AND ORDER**

This complaint of judicial misconduct was filed by **[REDACTED]** (“complainant”) against the Honorable **[REDACTED]** (“subject judge”), pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 351. The complaint alleges that the subject judge’s ruling in a 1996 civil action constituted abuse of power and obstruction of justice.

After conducting an initial review, the chief judge may dismiss a misconduct complaint as to which he concludes: (A) that the claimed conduct, even if it occurred, “is not prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts”; (B) that the complaint “is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling”; (C) that the complaint is “frivolous,” a term that applies to charges that are wholly unsupported; or (D) that the complaint “lack[s] sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred.” Rule 11(c)(1)(A)-(D), Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings; see 28 U.S.C. § 352(a), (b).

An initial review of the record reveals that the subject judge was assigned to a 1996 civil action relating to a police shooting. In April 1998, the subject judge granted the defendants’ motion for summary judgment.

This judicial-misconduct complaint is subject to dismissal under Rule 11(c)(1)(B) as directly related to the merits of the subject judge’s summary judgment ruling. See *also* 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii). Any challenge to the merits of a judge’s ruling is outside the scope of judicial-misconduct proceedings. See Rule 3(h)(3)(A), Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings. The Judicial Council is not a court and has no jurisdiction to review any decision by a judge. See *In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct*, 858 F.2d 331, 331-32 (6th Cir. 1988).

To the extent that the complaint alleges an improper motive on the part of the subject judge, it is subject to dismissal under Rule 11(c)(1)(C) because the allegations are entirely unsupported by the record. See *also* 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii).

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that the complaint be dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) & (iii) and Rule 11(c)(1)(B) & (C) of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings.

*/s/ R. Guy Cole, Jr.*  
Chief Judge

Date: February 6, 2019